

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/622,715	KASAHARA, HIROSHI
	<b>Examiner</b> Michael C. Miggins	<b>Art Unit</b> 1772

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael C. Miggins.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Bruce Kramer.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

### Part I.

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*Ikenoya in view of Ito*

**Claims discussed:**

1

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Ikenoya and Ito*

### Part II.

#### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Examiner's amendment approved. Claims amended for clarity and to better define the invention*

### Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)